STATE OF FLORIDA
FLORIDA BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS

FLORIDA BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS,

Petitioner,

v.

PAUL E. INA, P.E.,

Respondent,

FEMC Case No: 2015045620

FINAL ORDER ADOPTING SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

THIS CAUSE came before the FLORIDA BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS ("Board"), pursuant to Sections 120.569 and 120.57(4), Florida Statutes, on August 10, 2017 in Orlando, Florida, for the purpose of considering a Settlement Stipulation (attached hereto as "Exhibit A to Final Order") entered into between the parties in this cause. Upon consideration of the stipulation, the documents submitted in support thereof, and the arguments of the parties, it is hereby:

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Settlement Stipulation as submitted be and is hereby adopted in toto and incorporated herein by reference. Accordingly, the parties shall adhere to and abide by all the terms and conditions of the stipulation.

This Final Order shall take effect upon being filed with the Clerk of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation.

DONE AND ORDERED this 18th day of August, 2017.
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing filed Final Order Adopting Settlement Stipulation has been furnished by U.S. First Class Mail to Paul E. Ina, P.E. by service upon his attorney of record: E. Dylan Rivers, Esquire, Ausley & McMullen, 123 South Calhoun Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301 this 21st day of August, 2017.

Rebecca Valentine,
Paralegal
STATE OF FLORIDA
FLORIDA BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS

FLORIDA BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS,

Petitioner,

v.                                             FEMC Case No. 2015045620
PAUL E. INA, P.E.,

Respondent,

/\      

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

PAUL E. INA, P.E ("Respondent") and the Florida Engineers Management Corporation
("FEMC") hereby stipulate and agree to the following Joint Settlement Stipulation ("Stipulation")
and to entry of a Final Order of the Florida Board of Professional Engineers ("Board"), incorporating
this Stipulation in the above-styled matter.

STIPULATED FACTS

1. For all times pertinent hereto, Respondent, PAUL E. INA, P.E., was a licensed
   engineer in the State of Florida, having been issued license number PE 48878.

2. Respondent was charged with violations of Chapter 471, Florida Statutes, in an
   Administrative Complaint filed by the Florida Engineers Management Corporation, and properly
   served upon Respondent. True and correct copies of the filed Administrative Complaints are
   attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as "Composite Exhibit A to Settlement
   Stipulation".

STIPULATED CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Respondent, in Respondent's capacities as a licensed professional engineer admits
   that, in such capacity, Respondent is subject to the provisions of Chapter 471, Florida Statutes, and
the jurisdiction of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation ("Agency" or "Department"), FEMC, and the Board.

2. Respondent denies the allegations set forth in the Administrative Complaint, but admits that, if proven, they would constitute violations of Chapter 471, Florida Statutes.

STIPULATED DISPOSITION OF LAW

1. Respondent shall, in the future, comply with Chapters 471 and 455, Florida Statutes, and the rules promulgated pursuant thereto.

2. Should Respondent fail to comply with the terms of the Final Order, an administrative complaint for failure to comply with final order will automatically be opened against Respondent.

4. Respondent shall pay COSTS of $4,300.00 to the Board within Two (2) Years of the date that the Final Order adopting this Stipulation is filed with the Agency Clerk. The COSTS shall be paid in quarterly payments of $537.50 with the first payment due Ninety (90) Days of the date that the Final Order adopting this Stipulation is filed with the Agency Clerk.

5. Respondent shall APPEAR before the Board when this Stipulation is presented. Respondent must be prepared to discuss: how this situation occurred, what improvements and quality control measures Respondent plans to implement to improve Respondent's work product, and how Respondent intends to prevent this circumstance from occurring in the future.

6. Respondent shall successfully complete a Board-approved course in BASIC ENGINEERING PROFESSIONALISM AND ETHICS within one (1) year of the date the Final Order adopting this Stipulation is filed with the Agency Clerk. Prior to that date, Respondent shall submit to the Board a Certificate of Completion of the course. It is the Respondent’s responsibility to notify the Board that he has completed the course in a timely manner. Respondent may contact the Florida Engineering Society ("FES"), 125 South Gadsden St., Tallahassee, FL 32301, (850)224-
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7121, for information regarding the availability of such courses in Florida; however, if the FES provides any information regarding such a course to the Respondent, the Respondent must submit that course information to the FEMC for review and determination as to whether or not it will comply with the Board’s requirements. Respondent may also elect to complete one of the following correspondence courses offered by:

- Murdough Center for Engineering Professionalism
  Texas Tech University, PO Box 41023, Lubbock, Texas 79409
- Engineering Ethics Basic
- Engineering Ethics Intermediate
- Engineering Ethics Advanced
  Telephone 806-742-3525; Fax 806-742-0444; E-mail: engineering.ethics@ttu.edu

- EPD Program
  Auburn University
  Engineering Extension Service
  217 Ramaay Hall, Auburn, Alabama 36849-5331
  Ethics and Professionalism
  Phone 800-446-0382 or 334-844-4370

An Accredited College or University course if that course information is first submitted to the FEMC for review and determination as to whether or not it will comply with the Board’s requirements.

Courses offered by Continuing Education Programs
or Professional Business Programs (Exp: SunCam, Inc., C2Ed), are not Board Certified, and will not meet the requirements.

b. Respondent shall successfully complete the STUDY GUIDE which has been prepared by the Board and which will be furnished to Respondent, regarding the Engineering Practice Act, Chapter 471, Florida Statutes, and the Rules of the Board. Respondent is required to provide a personal email address that will be used to access the on-line study guide. The study guide must be completed within thirty (30) days of the date on which the Final Order incorporating this Stipulation is filed with the Agency Clerk.

7. Respondent is RESTRICTED from producing No-Risk Certifications until such time when the Respondent submits a request to the Board to amend the Final Order to allow the
Respondent to produce No-Rise Certifications. Upon receiving notice from Respondent of Respondent’s desire to produce No-Rise Certifications, then the Board Staff will immediately lift the No-Rise Certification Restriction.

8. Upon notifying the Board that Respondent wishes to produce No-Rise Certifications Respondent shall be subject to PROJECT REVIEWS with the following terms:

   a. Respondent shall submit to the Board a detailed list of all completed No-Rise Certifications (signed, sealed, and dated), by the Respondent for PROJECT REVIEW at six (6) months and eighteen (18) months from the date of the notification that Respondent wishes to produce No-Rise Certifications. The projects shall include: all No-Rise Certifications signed and sealed by Respondent.

   b. A FEMC Consultant will select two (2) projects from the list for review. Respondent is responsible for promptly furnishing any set of completed engineering reports (signed, sealed, and dated), calculations, and any other supporting documentation requested by the Consultant. The Respondent must sign, date, and seal all materials that are submitted for project review using a non-embossed, seal. Sealed project review materials may be copied and submitted electronically, if desired by the Respondent. Respondent is also responsible for the Consultant’s fees for reviewing the projects, and shall remit payment in the amount of $2,000.00 by check or money order made payable in the name of the Board’s Consultant at the time that the project lists are submitted to FEMC. In the event that the project review cost exceeds $2,000.00, then the Respondent is responsible for the deficiency. In the event that the cost of the reviews is less than $2,000.00, then the unused portion will be refunded to. Should the Consultant return an unfavorable report concerning Respondent’s projects, that report shall be submitted to the Probable Cause Panel for determination of whether additional disciplinary proceedings should be initiated.
c. If the Respondent has not performed engineering services on a sufficient number of projects to make the submissions required by 9c., above, the submission required by the terms of the project reviews shall be extended to allow Respondent to perform the services necessary for the required review(s). If, after notifying the Board of Respondent's desire to produce No-Rise Certifications, no such Certifications are issued, then the Restriction set out in Paragraph 7 will be reimposed. If, subsequently, Respondent again desires to produce No-Rise Certifications the notification and review requirements set out in Paragraphs 7 and 8 will also be reimposed.

d. Should the Respondent fail to timely comply with the terms of the Final Order with regard to the Project Reviews discussed herein, this case will be submitted to the Probable Cause Panel for review and determination of whether additional disciplinary action should be taken.

9. Respondent acknowledges that neither Respondent's attendance at the Board Meeting when this Stipulation is presented, nor any continuing education or college level courses taken as a requirement of the terms of this Stipulation may be used to comply with the continuing education requirements of Chapter 61G15-22, Florida Administrative Code.

10. It is expressly understood that this Stipulation is subject to approval of the Board and FEMC and has no force or effect until the Board issues a Final Order adopting this Stipulation.

11. This Stipulation is executed by Respondent for the purpose of avoiding further administrative action with respect to this cause. In this regard, Respondent authorizes the Board to review and examine all investigative file materials concerning Respondent prior to, or in conjunction with, consideration of this Stipulation. Furthermore, should this Stipulation not be accepted by the Board, it is agreed that presentation to and by the Board shall not unfairly or illegally prejudice the
Board or any of its members from further participation, consideration, or resolution of these proceedings.

12. Respondent expressly waives all further procedural steps and expressly waives all rights to seek judicial review of or otherwise challenge or contest the validity of the joint Stipulated Facts, Conclusions of Law, imposition of discipline, and the Final Order of the Board incorporating this Stipulation.

13. Respondent waives the right to seek any attorney's fees or costs from the Board in connection with this disciplinary proceeding.

WHEREFORE, the parties hereto request the Board to enter a Final Order accepting and implementing the terms contained herein.

[Signature]
Paul E. Ina, P.E.,
Respondent
Case No. 2015045620
Dated: 7/25/2017

APPROVED this 21st day of July, 2017.

[Signature]
Zana Raybon, Executive Director
Florida Board of Professional Engineers

[Signature]
BY: John J. Rimes, III
Chief Prosecuting Attorney
STATE OF FLORIDA
FLORIDA BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS

FLOIDA BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS,

Petitioner,

v.

PAUL E. INA, P.E.,

Respondent,

FEMC Case No. 2015045620

ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT

COMES NOW the Florida Engineers Management Corporation (FEMC) on behalf of Petitioner, Florida Board of Professional Engineers, hereinafter referred to as “Petitioner,” and files this Administrative Complaint against PAUL INA, P.E., hereinafter referred to as “Respondent” This Administrative Complaint is issued pursuant to Sections 120.60 and 471.038, Florida Statutes. Any proceeding concerning this complaint shall be conducted pursuant to Section 120.57, Florida Statutes. In support of this complaint, Petitioner alleges the following:

1. Petitioner, Florida Board of Professional Engineers, is charged with regulating the practice of engineering pursuant to Chapter 455, Florida Statutes. This complaint is filed by the Florida Engineers Management Corporation (FEMC) on behalf of Petitioner. FEMC is charged with providing administrative, investigative, and prosecutorial services to the Florida Board of Professional Engineers pursuant to Section 471.038, Florida Statutes (1997).

2. Respondent is, and has been at all times material hereto, a licensed professional engineer in the State of Florida, having been issued license number PE 48878. Respondent’s last known address is 1160 Eagle Point Drive, St. Augustine, Florida 32092.
3. A No-Rise Certification, is a signed certification by a Professional Engineer asserting that the proposed construction within a floodway will not impact the pre-project base flood elevations, regulatory floodway elevations, or regulatory floodway widths—in other words cause “No Rise.” In order to provide reasonable assurances, the No Rise Certification must be supported by calculations or an explanation of why a hydraulic analysis (calculations) is not required. The supporting technical data for the hydraulic analysis should reasonably be based on the same computer model (or a FEMA approved equal) as that utilized to develop the 100-year floodway shown on the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) and the results described in the Flood Insurance Study (FIS).

4. Respondent sealed, signed and dated No-Rise Certifications for the following locations in Clay County, Florida:
   
   A. 4170 Scenic Drive, dated January 4, 2008;
   
   B. 2882 Creek St. dated March 27, 2008.

5. The No-Rise Certifications set forth in Paragraph 4 are materially deficient in that:
   
   A. Neither of the No-Rise Certifications include a floodway analysis. As such, Respondent’s No-Rise Certifications do not meet acceptable standards of engineering principles for the performance of No-Rise Certifications in light of the fact that the requirement for a No-Rise Certification is triggered by proposed construction in a mapped floodway, and specifically references floodway elevations and floodway widths.

   B. Basic hydraulics suggests that any obstruction placed within a riverine cross-section that is narrower than another riverine cross-section is likely to have a different impact on that cross-section. Notwithstanding this fact, Respondent’s No-Rise Certifications ignore the encroachments thus ignoring basic hydraulics.

   C. Respondent erroneously entered NFIP Base Flood Elevations as known water surface elevations at multiple cross-sections thereby ensuring that the engineering model used would replicate the data that was entered by Respondent at those sections. This negates the purpose for
modeling the data for a sufficient distance from the proposed construction to ensure proper No-Rise Certification.

6. Respondent's No-Rise Certifications are engineering "certifications" as that term is defined in Rule 61G15-18.011(4), Florida Administrative Code, ("a statement signed and/or sealed by a professional engineer representing that the engineering services addressed therein, as defined in Section 471.005(6), F.S., have been performed by the professional engineer, and based upon the professional engineer's knowledge, information and belief, and in accordance with commonly accepted procedures consistent with applicable standards of practice,...").

7. Section 471.033(1)(g), Florida Statutes, provides that an engineer is subject to discipline for engaging in negligence in the practice of engineering. Rule 61G15-19.001(4), Fla. Admin Code, provides that negligence constitutes "failure by a professional engineer to utilize due care in performing in an engineering capacity or failing to have due regard for acceptable standards of engineering principles."

COUNT I

8. Petitioner realleges and incorporates Paragraphs One (1) through Seven (7) as if fully set forth in this Count One.

9. By signing, sealing and issuing for filing for public record the No-Rise Certification set forth in Paragraph 4A without performing engineering analysis in conformity with accepted engineering standards of practice applicable to the issuance of No-Rise Certifications Respondent failed to utilize due care in performing in an engineering capacity and failed to have due regard for acceptable standards of engineering principles.

10. Based on the foregoing, Respondent is charged with violating Section 471.033(1)(g), Florida Statutes, and Rule 61G15-19.001(4), F. A. C., by engaging in negligence in the practice of engineering.

COUNT II
11. Petitioner realleges and incorporates Paragraphs One (1) through Seven (7) as if fully set forth in this Count Two.

12. By signing, sealing and issuing for filing for public record the No-Rise Certifications set forth in Paragraph 4B without performing engineering analysis in conformity with accepted engineering standards of practice applicable to the issuance of No-Rise Certifications Respondent failed to utilize due care in performing in an engineering capacity and failed to have due regard for acceptable standards of engineering principles.

13. Based on the foregoing, Respondent is charged with violating Section 471.033(1)(g), Florida Statutes, and Rule 61G15-19.001(4), F. A. C., by engaging in negligence in the practice of engineering.

WHEREFORE, the Petitioner respectfully requests the Board of Professional Engineers to enter an order imposing one or more of the following penalties: permanent revocation or suspension of the Respondent’s license, restriction of the Respondent’s practice, imposition of an administrative fine, issuance of a reprimand, placement of the Respondent on probation, the assessment of costs related to the investigation and prosecution of this case, other than costs associated with an attorney’s time, as provided for in Section 455.227(3), Florida Statutes, and/or any other relief that the Board deems appropriate.

SIGNED this \text{17} \text{day of June}, 2017.

\begin{flushright}Zana Raybon Executive Director
\end{flushright}

\begin{flushright}BY: John J. Rimes, III
Prosecuting Attorney\end{flushright}

COUNSEL FOR FEMC:

John J. Rimes, III
Prosecuting Attorney
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CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was furnished to Paul E. Ina, P.E. by service upon his attorney of record: E. Dylan Rivers, Esquire, Ausley & McMullen, 123 South Calhoun Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, by certified mail and First Class U. S. Mail, on the 10th of June, 2017.

Rebecca Valentine, Paralegal