

**Minutes of
The Florida Board of Professional Engineers
October 15-16, 2008
Beginning at 8:30 a.m., or soon thereafter
West Palm Beach, Florida
Part I**

A. Meeting Administration

- #1. Call to Order, Invocation, and Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag
- #2. Roll Call, Determination of Quorum, and Address Absences

Board Members Present:

John C. Burke, P.E., Chair
David O. Charland, P.E., Vice-Chair
Zafar Hyder, Ph.D., P.E.
Jonathan F. K. Earle, Ph.D., P.E.

FEMC Board Member Roger Jeffery, Chair, was present at the Board meeting.

Mr. Burke advised the Board members and guests of an emergency situation resulting in a lack of attendance of sufficient number of Board members to compose a quorum. He called on Mr. Flury to provide further details on this matter.

Mr. Flury explained that because the Board had no quorum, the Board could not make motions, vote or take any agency action. The Board could conduct informal discussion of items on the agenda.

Board Members Absent:

Christian S. Bauer, Ph.D., P.E., C.M.S.P.
Paul Tomasino, P.E.
Nola Garcia

Mr. Burke confirmed the appointments of new Board members October 14, 2008 and with these appointments the absence of Henn Rebane, P.E. would not be applicable.

Mr. Burke asked Mr. Jeffery to summarize the FEMC Board Operations Committee meeting which occurred October 14, 2008.

Mr. Jeffery discussed the budget cut of \$100,000 for this fiscal year. He discussed the Annual Audit and noted that due to improvements, there

were very few comments by the auditors this year. These improvements include approximately 95% of the items noted in the Management Study that occurred two years ago. Some successes for the year were hiring an Assistant Executive Director and Comptroller.

Mr. Burke announced the Governor's appointment of four new Board Members. Before they are allowed to vote, they must have filed the oath of office with the Secretary of State. Hopefully all members would file their paperwork and the December meeting would occur with all Board positions filled..

FBPE Staff Present:

Carrie A. Flynn, Executive Director
Zana Raybon, Assistant Executive Director
Patrick Creehan, Prosecuting Attorney
Wendy Gregory, Executive Assistant

Attorney General's Office:

Michael Flury, Esquire

#3. Introduction of guests and announcements as to presentations at a time certain

Charlie Geer, FES/FICE
Tim McConaghy, P.E., FSEA
Ronald Mackey, P.E.
Bill Palm, P.E.
Brian Lance, Verizon
Bill Dunn, P.E., SunCam

#4. Approval of the Agenda

The Agenda could not be approved due to quorum issues.

#5. Approval of the Consent Agenda
(Items denoted with an asterisk are included in the Consent Agenda*)

The Consent Agenda items could not be approved due to quorum issues.

#6. Review and Approval of previous Board meeting minutes

- a. Minutes from the August 6-7, 2008 Board Meeting*
- b. Minutes from the October 10, 2008 Conference Call*

(To be distributed prior to start of meeting)

B. Committee Reports

#1. Applications Committee (**Next meeting 11-12-08**)
(John Burke, P.E., Chair; David Charland, P.E.; Henn Rebane, P.E.; Zafar Hyder, Ph.D., P.E., Nola Garcia) (Alternates: Christian Bauer, Ph.D., P.E.; Paul Tomasino, P.E.)

a. Committee Chair's Report.

#2. Educational Advisory Committee (**Next meeting 11-12-08**)
(Christian Bauer, Ph.D., P.E., Chair; Dr. Jonathan Earle, Ph.D., P.E.; Melvin Anderson, Ph.D., P.E. (Consultant), R. Gerry Miller, Ph.D., P.E. (Consultant) (Alternate: Zafar Hyder, Ph.D., P.E.)

a. Committee Chair's Report.

#3. Probable Cause Panel (**Next meeting 11-18-08**)
(David O. Charland, P.E., Chair, Henn Rebane, P.E.,) (Alternate: John Burke, P.E.)

a. PCP Memo from September 16, 2008 Meeting*

b. Letter from Allen H. Seckinger dated 8/26/08

Mr. Burke briefed the Board on his letter of appreciation to Al Seckinger for his years of service on the Probable Cause Panel. The replacement of Mr. Seckinger was due to the need to restructure the panel based on new Board appointments and the need to have Mr. Rebane continue to serve on the panel. As the statute only allows one past Board member to serve on the panel, Mr. Rebane was determined to fill this position. In response Mr. Seckinger thanked the Board for the opportunity to serve on the Probable Cause Panel.

Mr. Charland inquired as to whether Mr. Seckinger could continue to serve as an alternative. After a brief discussion with Mr. Flury, it was determined that in the event Mr. Rebane was unable to attend a PCP meeting for any reason, that Mr. Seckinger could stand in his place. Staff should notify Mr. Seckinger.

c. Summary of Informal Discussion following PCP of September 16, 2008

Mr. Creehan discussed the consultants/experts being used to review cases. He has advised consultants of the need to be strong

in their opinions and concise in their findings. They should not attempt to lead the panel regarding gravity of the violation or recommended penalties.

Mr. Creehan advised the Board on anew protocol calling for all informal communications continuing between the investigators and consultants. Final conclusions will be directed to the attorneys and the investigators will be copied.

Future investigations will call for investigators to be more proactive in advising the consultants as to what FEMC has in the complaint file to support the complaints. This should assist the consultants in preparing stronger reports.

Mr. Creehan advised of development of a consultant database. This was developed in order to allow both the investigators and the attorneys to review how many cases each consultant is reviewing at any given time. This will assist in determining case overload and timely response with reports. A tickler system has been set up so that reminders will be sent to consultants if nothing is received within the 60 of the 90 days.

Mr. Burke confirmed this discussion so cases could move through the complaint process more efficiently.

#4. **FBPE Rules Committee (Next meeting To be Determined)**
(John Burke, P.E., Chair; Henn Rebane, P.E.; David Charland, P.E., Paul Tomasino, P.E.)

a. Committee Chair's Report.

Mr. Burke and Ms. Flynn will set the next rules committee meeting and provide notice to members.

Mr. Flury discussed the Statement of Regulatory Costs and indicated that this will be discussed during the November conference call.

#5. **FBPE Legislative Committee**
(Paul Tomasino, P.E., Chair; Christian Bauer, Ph.D., P.E.; Zafar Hyder, Ph.D., P.E.)

a. Committee Chair's Report.

Mr. Geer noted that there is an FES Committee that is considering the possibility of asking for legislation relating to the landscape architecture issue. He is unaware whether it will be ready for session. FES may need the Board to have a legislative committee meeting in

December to discuss this. Mr. Burke noted that Mr. Tomasino should be advised of this proposal and he should discuss with Mr. Geer.

Mr. Geer indicated that the statutes are not quite precise enough and need to be addressed due to concerns that non professional engineers are performing what is believed to be engineering work and not architecture work.

#6. Joint Engineer/Architect Committee
(John Burke, P.E., Chair; Zafar Hyder, Ph.D., P.E.)

a. Committee Chair's Report.

No report.

#7. Nominations Committee – **(Next Nominations occur December 2008)**
(Nola Garcia, Chair; David Charland, P.E.)

Mr. Burke indicated that Ms. Garcia and Mr. Charland will report to the Board their slate of nominees for Chair and Vice Chair for 2009.

#8. Structural Rules Committee
(David O. Charland, P.E., Chair)

Mr. Charland indicated the Structural Rules are still being worked on and he has not yet seen a draft of the rules.

Mr. Jeffery indicated that the committee met last week and although two-thirds of the rule is written it is not in final format.

Mr. Charland noted that sorting out threshold inspections on existing buildings is pretty straight-forward.

C. NCEES
(John Burke, P.E., FBPE Liaison)

#1. Nominations for NCEES National Awards

In discussion it was determined to withhold any nominations for this year.

Mr. Burke advised that he, Mr. Charland, Ms. Flynn attended the NCEES Annual Meeting. Mr. Rebane was installed as President of NCEES for 2009. The installation was impressive and was attended by many of Mr. Rebane's family.

Discussions at the NCEES Meeting consisted of discussions of B+30 and computer testing. Discussion on computer based testing is continuing

with no final decisions at this time. There was a move by the Southern Zone to require an additional examination on ethics, accounting, and business issues, etc. This issue was not successful as the general belief is professional societies believing they take care of those types of issues with the membership.

Mr. Burke briefed the Board on what occurred at the Annual Meeting with regard to the B+30 initiative. He reminded the Board of the Western Zone Resolution received by Ms. Flynn during the last Board Meeting. Mr. Burke also reminded the Board that he was going to vote to support the Western Zone Resolution due to too many undefined issues. Some of the issues were implementation, how it was going to be done, who is going to prove the education, cost, comity issues between states, discouraging engineers from even entering into the profession, etc. That Resolution was gaining support, but did not go forward. The Resolution was modified to state that the initiative would continue forward, but that next year the issues of implementation, cost, etc., needed to be addressed. They have pushed the time out from implementation in 2015 to implementation by 2020. Down the road, things will change with regard to education.

Mr. McConaghy noted that the position of FSEA is that more education will be helpful but that time needs to be given; there needs to be a format that is easily implemented. It is his opinion that increased education has to happen.

D. Advisory Attorney's Report

- #1. Petition for Variance & Waiver filed by Florida Masonry Apprentice & Educational Foundation, Inc.
(Exhibit D#1)

Quorum issues – cannot be heard.

- #2. Letter from Mike Flury to Governor Crist regarding Petitions for Variance & Waiver

For informational purpose.

- #3. Petition for Rulemaking, etc., from Emil Veksenfeld

Mr. Flury explains to the Board that Mr. Veksenfeld is asking the Board to issue a statement or initiate rulemaking requiring a special inspector for threshold buildings, regardless of whether it is new construction or existing construction.

Mr. Charland details what a threshold building means. He noted that a building official can ask for a special inspector on anything he wants to ask for. For a threshold building, a professional engineer can provide the services but if he wants to send a representative then he needs to be a threshold inspector and his representative needs to comply with the threshold inspection rules or statute.

Mr. Burke stated Mr. Veksenfeld's issue is not under the authority of Chapter 471, F.S. it is an issue with the Florida Building Code, Chapter 553, F.S.

Mr. Flury agreed with Mr. Burke's statement and he will advise Mr. Veksenfeld.

#4. Discuss regarding Rule 61G15-21.007, F.A.C. regarding re-examination

Mr. Flury briefed the Board on this issue raised by Dr. Bauer regarding the remedial education required if failing the examination three times. Traditionally we have not accepted repeat of courses completed to receive the baccalaureate degree. Unfortunately the rule does not specifically state classes may not be repeated. Mr. Flury thinks that if you are doing remedial work in an area where there were problems, it wouldn't be acceptable to repeat coursework for remediation.

Mr. Burke advised Mr. Flury that this matter should be referred to the educational advisory committee for further review and determination.

#5. Discussion regarding question #19 in the Study Guide on Laws and Rules relating to temporary certificates

Mr. Flury explained that this matter was brought to his attention by Ms. Flynn. One of the answers in our Study Guide may be incorrect. The statute says that temporary licenses will be granted for one year.

Ms. Flynn noted a policy established some time ago to set a temporary license for three months. With requirements to be met there are few temporary licenses issued.

Mr. Burke advised to leave the question as in the Study Guide. In the meantime, the Rules Committee will review this matter and determine further action.

E. Executive Director's Report

#1. List of Applicants Requesting Retired Status*

Quorum issue – cannot be heard.

#2. Review of Annual Report

The Board expressed appreciation for the annual report cover and its very professional appearance. Ms. Flynn outlined the sections of the annual report and noted that the only items for discussion might be the figures for the number of applications received and cases prosecuted.

Ms. Flynn advised the Board as requested by the FEMC Board, a spreadsheet reflecting numbers for the past five annual reports will be presented during the December Board Meeting.

#3. Email from Bruce Tumin regarding creation of "Delegated Engineer"

The Board discussed the rewrite of the responsibility rules for mechanical and environmental engineering. There was input from Fire Marshalls, building officials, etc., on these rewrites. It is believed that Mr. Tumin's concern is standardizing the language on delegated engineer for all responsibility rules. Procedures for delegation were discussed. There was also input for instances where a company may have to delegate to a sub-contractor for specific areas of engineering. Mr. Tumin believes the engineer is delegating to a contractor and this interpretation is incorrect.

F. Chief Prosecutor's Report

#1. Non-Compliance Report

Mr. Creehan discussed the only case not in compliance with the terms of the final order. The Respondent indicated to Mr. Creehan that he plans to complete the ethics course within the week. If he does not do this we will fast track a complaint against him.

#2. September Open Case Report

- #3. Profile of legal cases by year
- a. Cases open for 1 year plus
(Exhibit F#3a)
 - b. Total open cases by year

Mr. Creehan advised the Board that he was going to discuss F#2, F#3a. & b. together.

These reports and graphs give an overview the current case load. It was hoped that these numbers would be lower than 175 and 42, respectively; however, with the older cases, those cases are either settled or over at DOAH and they will be heading for resolution very soon.

Mr. Geer expressed concerns with what appeared to be a focus on reducing open cases. He indicated that a major concern of FES is active prosecution of cases.

Mr. Burke explained that the need was to close very old cases that for one reason or another were never brought to conclusion. Many of those cases do not have sufficient information on which to prosecute. Mr. Burke also assured Mr. Geer that the Board does not encourage less than full attention to all cases.

#4. Investigator's Travel Synopsis

Mr. Creehan advised that Board that as discussed in the most recent Board meeting, procedures have been implemented for investigators to schedule specific times for meetings with building officials during investigative field work. Additionally, last month, the Investigators attended a CLEAR Conference which allows for certification as investigators. Both investigators will appear before the Board in December to allow for an exchange of ideas.

G. Chair's Report

Mr. Burke discussed the personal appearances that had been mandated at this Board meeting for cases to be heard. It was discussed and decided that those cases would be carried forward to the December Board meeting.

Mr. Burke discussed the Board Operations Committee meeting that occurred the previous day. He indicated that there was discussion regarding funding of the Christmas party. It was the conclusion of that Committee to excuse the new Board member from contribution this year and assess the present Board members an amount needed to host the party. Ms. Flynn was asked to advise the Board Chairs of FEMC and FBPE regarding cost and they would notify each Board member.

Mr. Burke advised the Board that staff had completed a detailed Board Training Manual. This manual will be used to conduct Board member training after the first of the year.

Mr. Burke asked each Board member to review the proposed calendar for 2009 and also advised that the final 2009 calendar would be presented at the December Board meeting in Tallahassee.

H. Correspondence to the Board

- #1. Letter from Robert C. Wiley, P.E., CFM, with Collier County Community Development and Environmental Services regarding whether a certification requires a Professional Engineer dated 9/11/08

Mr. Wiley was reporting Collier County's consideration of an ordinance to require the periodic inspection and certification of storm water management and drainage facilities to ensure that they are properly constructed, maintained, and able to function as intended by the approved constructions plans. In developing the ordinance, Mr. Wiley was requesting the Board's opinion regarding the ability of a contractor or citizen to provide certification of the constructed facilities as substantially complying with the plans and their proper function regarding storm water quality and quantity.

The Board discussed and confirmed the need to write the ordinance in such a manner as to require an engineer to certify substantial compliance with the design plan. This is definitely an engineering function and should be performed by a professional engineer. Staff was to work with Mr. Flury on notification to Mr. Wiley.

- #2. Letter from Leslie C. Roberts, P.E., regarding exempt employees and "umbrella of exemption" relating to Professional Engineers dated 8/24/08

Mr. Roberts is employed by the Jacksonville Electric Authority. His question to the Board pertains to Section 471.003(2)(c), F.S. Mr. Roberts is requesting the Board's opinion on hiring of outside contractors to assist on projects and applicability of Section 471.003(2)(c), F.S.

The Board's opinion was that the exemptions addressed in Section 471.003(2)(c), F.S. apply only to the full time employees of the Jacksonville Electric Authority. This exemption cannot be applied to any temporary or OPS contracted employees.

- #3. Email from Brian Bennett with DOT regarding Utility Permits dated 9/24/08

Mr. Bennett, a professional engineer with the Florida Department of Transportation, posed a question of subcontracting to outside vendors the preparation or drafting parts of utility permit documents for utility companies. The utility company has an exemption for their employees but is not clear what the limits of work done by other for the utility company might be.

Mr. Burke opined the work as engineering and that it should be done by an engineer. The use of subcontractors would not be covered by the exemption of licensure that applies to the utility.

Mr. Geer believes that the issue goes beyond the utility company. He believes that it deals with subcontracts to communications companies (as

an example). The utility is covered by exemption of licensure. That exemption does not extend to any subcontracted vendor.

Mr. Lance addressed the Board regarding this matter. He was concerned that FDOT asked this question due to the fact that the services in question have been performed by years and licensure has not been an issue. He indicated that one key element is the distinction between normal field work done by designated personnel and work that may fall within the definition of engineering as contained in Chapter 471, F.S.

Mr. Geer believed that some types of services being performed are engineering. If it is engineering, licensure of the individuals and the company applies.

In conclusion, Board Counsel explained the Board's ability to respond informally. If a formal opinion such as a Declaratory Statement is requested, certain procedures must be followed.

#4. Email from Scott Arnold dated 10/8/08 regarding As-Built Conditions

Mr. Arnold is employed by the Florida Department of Transportation and had written the Board regarding further clarification of "as-builts." Mr. Rimes previously responded and advised Mr. Arnold to review the Chair's article on the FBPE website addressing "as-builts." After reading the article, Mr. Arnold confirmed that he understood; however, he still wanted a written response.

Ms. Flynn noted concern with any request for a written confirmation of procedures without having a full Board review and cautioned the need to be aware of the question and the response.

It was the consensus of the Board that if modifications occur during the design and are noted on the documents as modifications by the engineer of record, that they become part of the contract documents. If modifications are proposed by the specialty engineer, that engineer must return the documents to the engineer of record to determine if the deviation conforms to the original design. The specialty engineer must be responsible for determining if deviation from the design is substantive and requires approval and incorporation by the engineer of record

Mr. Burke noted that he would work with Mr. Flury and Mr. Rimes on drafting a response to Mr. Arnold.

#5. Request from FES to grant the FES Structural Revision Sub-Committee continuing education credits in the Laws and Rules category for services to the Board

Due to quorum issues, this item is continued to the November conference call.

I. Old Business

J. New Business

Mr. Dunn discussed how the Board's rules change frequently. He asked whether he needed approval each time his course changed since he is changing the courses as the rules change. Mr. Burke indicated that there is not a need for re-approval with each rule change that occurs.

K. Public Forum

Mr. Flury explained that this portion of the meeting will be a meeting of the application review committee. Mr. Flury noted that Mr. John Elamad has an application before the Board and that Mr. Bayo' appeared to discuss this matter. Mr. Flury passed Mr. Elamad's file around the table for each Board member to review.

Mr. Bayo' discussed Mr. Elamad's previous discipline and explained the facts surrounding the decision of Mr. Elamad to voluntarily relinquish his PE license. Mr. Bayo' discussed Mr. Elamad's outstanding moral character and described various services to the community.

Mr. Burke advised Mr. Bayo' that while he understood that Mr. Elamad had continued to be a part of the engineering community, and had provided community service, he wanted Mr. Elamad to personally appear before the Board. Mr. Bayo' advised that he would ensure Mr. Elamad's appearance at whatever date the Board wished. Mr. Burke advised Mr. Bayo' that staff or Mr. Flury would advise him what date Mr. Elamad should appear before the Board.

THURSDAY, October 16, 2008

L. Roll Call, Determination of Quorum

Mr. Burke called the meeting to Order and made opening statements.

Mr. Burke explained that due to quorum issues, no official actions could be made at the Board meeting. He went on to explain that although no hearings could be held, the Board would be providing a course on Laws & Rules so that credit could still be received for this Board meeting.

Mr. Burke advised that prior to the renewal presentation and Mr. Flury's rules report, Mr. Bruce Tumin requested to speak. Mr. Tumin appeared on the Board's agenda the previous day and Mr. Tumin was unable to attend that meeting.

Mr. Tumin read a short statement on the term "delegated engineer" and his position that the Board re-evaluate the definition of "delegated engineer."

Mr. Burke advised Mr. Tumin that this issue would be revisited with the Rules Committee and if that committee decided there was a need to change the rule, then the rule would be changed.

M. Renewal 2009 Workshop Presentation – Sean Benjamin & Frances Ingram

Mr. Benjamin presented a workshop on the 2009 renewal process. Following that presentation, Mr. Benjamin and Ms. Ingram held a question and answer session with members of the engineering public.

N. Rules Report - Michael Flury, Esquire, Board Counsel

Mr. Flury explained the authority of the Board regarding Rulemaking.

Mr. Flury discussed the following rule changes:

Rule	Title	Develop.	Notice	Adptd.	Effect.
No.		Published	Published		

The following rules are **PENDING**:

NOTICE OF CHANGE PUBLISHED ON RESPONSIBILITY RULES:

	Published	21 Day Period
Chapter 30 Rules	9-26-08	10-17-08
Chapter 32 Rules	9-26-08	10-17-08
Chapter 33 Rules	9-26-08	10-17-08

RESPONSIBILITY RULES:

- 61G15-30.001 Purpose 12-7-07 3-14-08
 .002 Definitions Common to All Engineer's Responsibility Rules
 .003 Engineering Document Classification
 .005 Request for and Review of Delegated Engineering Documents
 .006 Delegated Engineer's Responsibility
 .007 Prime Professional's Responsibility
 .009 Retention of Engineering Documents
 .010 Energy Conservation Compliance
- 61G15-32.001 General Responsibility 11-21-07 3-14-08
 .002 Definitions
 .003 Comm. Req. to all Fire Protectn.Eng.Docs.
 .008 Design of Fire Alarms, Signal and Control Systems
- 61G15-33.001 General Responsibility 12-7-07 3-14-08
 .002 Definitions
 .003 Design of Power Systems
 .004 Design of Lighting Systems
 .005 Design of Communications Systems
 .006 Design of Alarm Systems
 .007 Design of Lightning Protection Systems
 .008 Design of Grounding Systems
 .010 Certification of Electrical Systems of Public Interest
- 61G15-34.001 General Responsibility 11-21-07 3-14-08
 .002 Definitions
 .003 Design of HVAC Systems
 .007 Design of Plumbing Systems

The following rules are **IN PROCESS**:

- 61G15-19.004 Disciplinary Guidelines.. 3-7-08 8-8-08
- 61G15-20.001 Definitions, Appl. For 8-1-08
 .0015 Lic. By Endorsement,
 .007 Dem. Of Subs. Equiv.
- 61G15-21.009 Endorsement 8-1-08
- 61G15-22.001 C.E. Requirements 8-8-08
- 61G15-22.011 Bd. Appr. Of CE Prov. 8-1-08

61G15-23.002 Elect. Seals, Signatures 8-1-08
.003 And Procedures

61G15-37.001 Perform. Stds. And 8-1-08
Meas. Outcomes

The following rules are **ADOPTED**:

61G15-18.011 Definitions	12-7-07	4-11-08	5-16-08	6-5-08
61G15-20.006 Educationl Reqmts	9-23-05	7-28-06	3-21-08	4-10-08
61G15-21.007 Re-examination	7-21-06	8-11-06	3-21-08	4-10-08
61G15-22.0105 Approval of C.E. Courses in Laws and Rules	2-8-08	2-29-08	4-8-08	4-28-08

Petitions:

Grable Walls, et all (Pet for Var) 7-25-08

FMAEF (Petition for Variance) 9-5-08

O. Endorsement/Continuing Education Committee
(Christian Bauer, P.E., Chair)

a. Report on Educational Requirements by Chair of Educational
Advisory Committee (Christian Bauer, Ph.D., P.E., Chair)

No report.

**Part II
Informal Hearing Agenda**

Mr. Burke reaffirmed not hearing for this meeting due to lack of quorum of Board members.

Mr. Burke announced the presentation of a laws and rules seminar by Mr. Edwin Bayo, Esquire. Mr. Bayo conducted his presentation and all in attendance received credit for four hours on laws and rules.

P. Consideration of Petition for Formal Hearing

Q. Informal Hearings on Denial of Application for Fundamentals Examination

R. Informal Hearings on Denial of Application for Principles and Practice Examination

#1. Agim Demiraj

S. Informal Hearings on Denial of Application for Licensure by Endorsement

#1. Luay Subhi Esho

T. Consideration of DOAH Recommended Orders

#1. Thomas Norris

**Part III
Disciplinary Hearings**

U. Settlement Stipulations

#1. Peet, Stiles T.
PE 49200
FEMC Case Number 2007043799
Probable Cause Panel:Rebane, Seckinger

#2. Wells, John W.
PE 49347
FEMC Case Number 2006000884
Probable Cause Panel:Rebane, Seckinger

#3. Contreras, Remberto
PE 21522
FEMC Case Number 2008015766
Probable Cause Panel:Rebane, Seckinger

#4. Shumate, David
PE 47088
FEMC Case Number 067561
Probable Cause Panel:Rebane, Seckinger

#5. Fitzgerald,Carey
PE 24636
FEMC Case Number 2007005175
Probable Cause Panel: Rebane, Seckinger

#6 Bellace, Thomas A.
PE 52262
FEMC Case Number 2007067243

Probable Cause: Waived Probable Cause

#7 Panaro, Glenn
PE 57074
FEMC Case Number 2006019846
Probable Cause Panel: Waived Probable Cause

V. Motion to Dismiss

#8 Ribas, Alberto
PE 14452
FEMC Case Number 2008032978
Probable Cause Panel:Fast Track AC

W. Adjourn